N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):

K. OHTSUKA, et al.

Application No.:

10/516,826

Filed:

December 7, 2004

Title:

METHOD FOR CLARIFYING EXHAUST GAS

Group:

TBD

Examiner:

TBD

SUBMISSION OF TRANSLATION OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 January 31, 2005

Sir:

Pursuant to Applicants' duty of disclosure, enclosed please find an English translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report in connection with International (PCT) Application No. PCT/JP2003/006127, filed May 16, 2003. The above-identified application is a National Stage application under 35 USC §371 of No. PCT/JP2003/006127.

Consideration of the enclosed English translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report, upon examination of the above-identified application, is respectfully requested.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to the Antonelli, Terry, Stout & Kraus, LLP

Deposit Account No. 01-2135 (Docket No. 396.44480X00), and please credit any excess fees to such Deposit Account.



Respectfully submitted,

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP

William I. Solomon

Registration No. 28,565

1300 North Seventeenth Street Suite 1800 Arlington, Va 22209

Telephone: 703/312-6600

Fax: 703/312-6666

WIS/sjg

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

\mathbf{PCT}

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Rule 72.2) То

OHTANI, Tamotsu Bridgestone Toranomon BLDG. 6F. 25-2, Toranomon 3-chome Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-0001 JAPON

ST AVAILAE

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
29 December 2004 (29.12.2004)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FMN-1103

International application No. PCT/JP2003/006127

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International filing date (day/month/year) 16 May 2003 (16.05.2003)

Applicant

JAPAN PIONICS CO., LTD. et al

1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation made by the International Bureau of the international preliminary examination report established by the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following elected Offices requiring such translation:

CN, EP, KR

The following elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

บร

Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report.

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Yoshiko Kuwahara

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 90 90

En- DOT/10/220 /1..... 1004\

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

		PC	T	ATY
ansi	TNTEDNAT.	I CONAL PRELIMINA	_	A TION DEDODE
anslation	THE PROPERTY.			ATION REPORT
		(PCT Article 36		
Applicant's or agent's FMN-		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of Internation Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA		
International applicati		International filing date (d	zy/month/year)*	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/JP200		16 May 2003 (16.05.2003)		07 June 2002 (07.06.2002
	lassification (IPC) or 1 5, 53/94, 53/86, 53/7	national classification and IP 2		
Applicant			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		JAPAN PIONICS	CO., LTD.	
amend 70.16	ed and are the basis for and Section 607 of the	or this report and/or sheets co Administrative Instructions	ntaining rectifica	ations made before this Authority (see
3. This report co	ontains indications rela	otal of sheet		
3. This report co	Dontains indications related Basis of the report Priority	otal of sheet	S.	
3. This report co	Dontains indications related Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment	otal of sheet ating to the following items: of opinion with regard to no	S.	ep and industrial applicability
3. This report co	Dontains indications related Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inventors of the reasoned statemen	otal of sheet ating to the following items: of opinion with regard to not wention	velty, inventive st	ep and industrial applicability sventive step or industrial applicability
3. This report co	Dontains indications related Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inventors of the reasoned statemen	otal ofsheet ating to the following items: of opinion with regard to not wention t under Article 35(2) with repartions supporting such states	velty, inventive st	
3. This report co	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of investations and explan	otal ofsheet ating to the following items: of opinion with regard to not wention t under Article 35(2) with repartions supporting such states	velty, inventive st	
3. This report co	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of ince Reasoned statemen citations and explan Certain documents Certain defects in the	otal of sheet ating to the following items: of opinion with regard to not vention t under Article 35(2) with repartions supporting such states cited	velty, inventive st gard to novelty, in	
3. This report co	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inv Reasoned statemen citations and explai Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with regard to not wention t under Article 35(2) with regardions supporting such states cited the international application as on the international application	velty, inventive st gard to novelty, in nent	ventive step or industrial applicability
3. This report co	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inv Reasoned statemen citations and explai Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with regard to not wention t under Article 35(2) with regardions supporting such states cited the international application as on the international application.	velty, inventive st gard to novelty, in	ventive step or industrial applicability
3. This report co	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inv Reasoned statemen citations and explai Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with regard to not wention t under Article 35(2) with regardions supporting such states cited the international application as on the international application.	e of completion of	ventive step or industrial applicability

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2003/006127

Ľ	i. Basis	s of the report		
J	I. With	regard to the elements of t	the international application:*	*
	\boxtimes	the international application		
		the description:	•	
	<u> </u>	naces		as originally filed
				, as originally filed , filed with the demand
		the claims:		,
	ـــا	ne claims:		
				, as originally filed
		nages		, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19
		· · · — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	-12	, filed with the demand , filed with the demand
				, filed with the letter of
	لــا	the drawings:		
		nagec		
		-		, filed with the demand
				, filed with the letter of
	L.) '	the sequence listing part of t		•
		pages	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, as originally filed
		F-8-0		, filed with the demand
		pages		, filed with the letter of
	. With	the language of a translation the language of publication the language of the transl or 55.3). Tregard to any nucleotide minary examination was carrecontained in the internation filed together with the interfurnished subsequently to furnished subsequently to furnished subsequently to the statement that the sinternational application as The statement that the international application as The statement that the international distribution furnished.	de and/or amino acid sequencied out on the basis of the sequencial application in composition that the sequencies are subsequently in written form the subsequently furnished write as filed has been furnished.	in the following language which is: as of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). ation (under Rule 48.3(b)). posses of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/ quence disclosed in the international application, the international equence listing: rm. aputer readable form. m.
4.		the description, pag	sulted in the cancellation of: ages ets/fig	
5.	'	beyond the disclosure as file	iled, as indicated in the Supple	•
	and 70	0.17).	ilea" and are not annexed to	ng Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16
**,	Any rej	olacement sheet containing	; such amendments must be re	referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

YES

NO

International application No.

PCT/JP03/06127

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1. Statement							
Novelty (N)	Claims	3-5, 9-13, 15-17	YES				
	Claims	1, 2, 6-8, 14, 18	NO				
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	3-5, 9-13, 15-17	YES				
	Claims	1, 2, 6-8, 14, 18	NO				

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

Document 1: JP, 7-185344, A (Nissan Motor Co., Ltd.), 25 July, 1995 (25.07.95)

Claims

Claims

Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 14 and 18

The subject matters of claims 1, 2, 6-8, 14 and 18 do not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step in view of the invention described in document 1 cited in the ISR. Document 1 describes an exhaust gas cleaning method in which the exhaust gas (containing nitrogen oxides and hydrocarbons) emitted from an internal combustion engine is brought into contact with a catalyst (cleaning agent) with palladium loaded in alumina at 500°C, for reducing the nitrogen oxides and oxidizing the hydrocarbons (see page 3, right column, lines 43-50). Furthermore, the document describes that the said palladium is a mixture consisting of metal palladium and palladium oxide (page 2, right column, lines 29-41). Moreover, the document also describes that the deterioration degree of the catalyst, i.e., the ratio of the said palladium to metal palladium or the treated amount of the exhaust gas is indirectly detected to make the air-fuel ratio leaner (to have more air introduced) (page 4, left column, line 20 to right column, line 9).

Claims 3-5

None of the documents cited in the ISR describes that the change in the constitution ratio between a reducing cleaning agent ingredient and an oxidizing cleaning agent ingredient is detected in reference to (1) a sampled gas, (2) the discoloration of a detecting agent, or (3) the change in the electrical resistance of the cleaning agent. This constitution is not considered to be obvious to a person skilled in the art either.

Claims 9, 11, 13 and 15

Converting a lower valent metal oxide into a higher valent metal oxide is neither described in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Claims 10 and 12

Using a correction gas for reducing a metal oxide is neither described in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Claims 16 and 17

Using a metal and a metal oxide other than palladium as a cleaning agent is neither described in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.